

Hematological Parameters and Relative Weight of some Organs for Broiler Chickens Supplemented by Different Levels of Nano Propolis

Rasool H. Khalati ^{1,2} and Khalid C. K. Al-Salhi¹

¹Animal Production Department, Agriculture College, Basrah University, Iraq.

²Animal Production Department, Agriculture College, Wasit University, Iraq.

Corresponding author e-Mail: RHK: gl804@uowasit.edu.iq

KCKA: khalid.chillab@uobasrah.edu.iq

Abstract. The objective of this experiment was to examine the impact of using different levels of nano-propolis to drinking water on hematological parameters and heart, liver, gizzard, spleen and bursa gland relative weights of broiler chickens. A total of 144 one-day-old, unsexed broiler chickens with an average body weight of 40 g were used. The birds were distributed into 4 treatment groups (each with 36 birds), with three replicates in each group (12 birds per replicate). The first group drank reverse osmosis (R.O) drinking water without additions (control), whereas the second, third, and fourth groups drank reverse osmosis (R.O) drinking water containing 50, 100, and 150 μ l of nano-propolis per liter, respectively. Higher some hematological variables such as RBC, Hb and PCV were observed in the 3rd and 4rd treatments compared to other treatments. Some blood parameters such as MCV, MCH, MCHC, heterophils, lymphocytes, heterophils/lymphocytes ratio and relative weight of heart, liver, gizzard, spleen and bursa gland were not affected by various amounts of nano-propolis. In conclusions, the addition of 100 and 150 μ l of nano-propolis per liter of drinking water were improved some blood parameters that include RBC, Hb and PCV. Nano-propolis in current study without effect on MCV, MCH, MCHC, heterophils, lymphocytes, heterophils / lymphocytes ratio and relative weight of heart, liver, gizzard, spleen and bursa gland of broiler chickens.

Keywords: Nano-propolis, Broiler, Blood parameters

1. Introduction

Propolis is a natural compounds with strong antioxidant and antibacterial capabilities that come from both animal and vegetable sources [1]. Most of the active elements in Propolis are responsible for its antioxidant activity in both human and animal [1,2]. The biological activity of Propolis and plants is determined by the active compounds in the polyphenolic fraction. Aromatic acids, lignans, triterpenes, phytosterols, carotenoids, and polyphenols are among the constituents of flavonoids [3,4]. Plants and their extracts were therefore employed to improve poultry productivity and physiological performance [5-7]. A great number of previous research have demonstrated that feeding propolis to hens improves their production performance [8]. These effects could be linked to propolis extract's influence on the gut microbiome, which raises beneficial bacteria levels while decreasing harmful bacteria [9]. Given that some of its ingredients are found in feed and feed additives and are generally regarded as harmless, propolis' antioxidant, antibacterial, and antifungal properties make sense. [10]. The study of small things (less than 100 nm) with novel chemical and physical structures, as well as improved reactivity and solubility is known as nanotechnology. [11]. The substance's stability is increased when the active component is nanostructured because it is shielded from oxidizing agents other compounds or enzymes. [11,12]. These advantages result in improved food and feed production as well as improved livestock animal performance and financial losses. Veterinary medicine can benefit from the use of nano-propolis a natural nano-material, in terms of performance, health, and reliable food production. Nanoparticles are more readily absorbed by the body due to their smaller size but nano-propolis has greater antibacterial and antifungal action than propolis. [13,14]. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are created in large quantities during normal cell metabolism and are required for healthy cell function. Many cell-signaling procedures require low quantities of reactive oxygen species. The quantities of reactive oxygen species created during cellular metabolism and the levels of endogenous antioxidants, which

prevent organs from oxidative damage, are in balance under normal physiological conditions. Oxidative stress is caused by an imbalance or lack of cellular redox balance, which causes significant damage to cellular components [15]. In prior studies using propolis and other antioxidants, it was demonstrated that propolis can reduce the harmful effects of lipid peroxidation and the formation of free radicals [4]. Flavonoids and caffeic acid phenethyl ester, both of which are found in propolis, are antioxidants that protect cell membranes from lipid peroxidation [16,17].

This experiment was designed to investigate the impact of varying the amount of nano-propolis in drinking water on the hematological parameters and the relative weight of the heart, liver, gizzard, spleen and bursa gland of broiler chickens.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Propolis Extract and Nano-propolis Synthesis

Propolis was purchased from local markets. The alcoholic extract of propolis and nano-propolis were prepared to use in this experiment. The alcoholic extract of Propolis was prepared according to [18]. Chemical detections were made for some active compounds in the alcoholic extract of propolis, which include alkaloids, tannins, phenols, flavonoids, steroids, resins, coumarins, glycosides and saponins. Nano-propolis extract was prepared according to [19,20]. The nano extract was detected using transmission electron microscopy.

2.2. Study Treatments

Four treatment groups were employed in this study. In this study, 144 one-day-old, unsexed broiler chicken (ROSS 308) chicks with an average body weight of 40 g were used. The birds were distributed into 4 treatment groups (each with 36 birds), with three replicates in each group (12 birds per replicate). The first group drank reverse osmosis (R.O) drinking water without additions (control), whereas the second, third, and fourth groups drank reverse osmosis (R.O) drinking water containing 50, 100, and 150 µl of nano-propolis per liter, respectively.

2.3. Animal Management

The chicks were raised for five weeks (35 days) under identical conditions. For the first week, the temperature was set at 33°C, then steadily reduced by 3°C each week until the ending of the fifth week. The temperature thereafter stayed at 21°C throughout the remainder of the trial. From the first to the 35th day, there were 23 hours of light and 1 hour of darkness. Two different basal diets were fed to the chicks, the first diet containing 23.51 % (C.P.) and 2910 kcal/kg (M.E.). The second diets, were included 20.11 % (C.P.) and 3174.5 kcal/kg (M.E.). Pellet diets and *ad libitum* water were supplied to the chicks.

2.4. Data Collection

After the broiler chickens had fasted for 3 hours, blood samples were taken at the end of the study. Using a 3ml syringe (23G needle) and an EDTA-filled tube, blood was extracted 2-3 ml from a shank vein that had been cleaned with alcohol. Red blood cells (RBC $\times 10^6$.mm³) Hb (g.dl), PCV(%), MCV (fl), MCH (pg), MCHC (g.l⁻¹), heterophils (%), lymphocytes (%), and heterophils/lymphocytes ratio were measured. All blood parameters were determined according to [21].

2.5. Relative Weight of some Organs

Five identically sized birds from each group were used to measure the relative weights of the heart, liver, gizzard, spleen and bursa gland after the 35-day experiment. The birds were chosen at random, weighed separately, and then slaughtered. Organ weights were collected, calculated in proportion to live body weights, and expressed.

2.6. Statistical Analysis

A completely randomized design (One –way ANOVA) was applied to analyze experimental data using the program SPSS [22]. Duncan test [23] were used to assess significant differences between means at a 0.05 percent level of significance

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Chemical Analysis of Active Ingredients of Propolis Extract

Qualitative chemical detection of the active ingredients of propolis extract were shown in table 1. The results of the qualitative detection of the active compounds in the Propolis extract indicated the presence of glycosides, tannins, phenols and flavonoids with a very high intensity, while the alkaloids, resins and terpenes appeared with a lower intensity. On the other hand, the results indicated the absence of saponins, coumarins and steroids in the alcoholic extract of propolis. Plants contain phenolic compounds such as phenolic acids, flavonoids, stilbenes, tannins, coumarins, curcuminoids, and quinines. These substances provide propolis its anti-inflammatory, anti-carcinogenic, anti-mutagenic, and antioxidant characteristics. [24]. Polyphenols, including flavonoids, are found in propolis. Flavonoids are utilized to assess the quality of propolis [25]. Aluminum and calcium, as well as aromatic oils, protein, amino acids, vitamins, and flavonoids, were mentioned [10,26,27]. Because of its high flavonoid, phenolic acid, and terpenoid content, propolis has antioxidative, antimutagenic, and immunomodulatory properties [28-30].

Table 1. Qualitative chemical detection of the active compounds in the Propolis extract.

Type of active compounds	Result
Glycosides	+++
Alkaloids	++
Tannins	+++
Resins	++
Saponins	-
Coumarins	-
Phenols	+++
Flavones	+++
Terpenes	+
Steroids	-

+++high intensity available , ++ highly available, + available , - unavailability

3.1. Hematological Variables

The effect of various amounts of nano-propolis on RBC, Hb, PCV, MCV, MCH and MCHC are shown in Table 2. The results showed significantly increased ($p \leq 0.05$) RBC, Hb and PCV in the 3rd and 4th treatments compared to other treatments. MCV, MCH and MCHC were not affected by different levels of nano-propolis. Increased RBC, hemoglobin, and PCV levels could suggest improved broiler health, which could be linked to increased nutritional consumption, particularly iron. Furthermore, propolis can enhance iron utilization and, as a result, hemoglobin regeneration[31,32] . Our findings are in agreement with [33] , who found that using 100 and 150 mg propolis in diets resulted in high packed cell volume in laying hens. As a result, [34] discovered that including propolis in diet enhanced hemoglobin levels, packed cell volume, and erythrocyte counts. [35] indicated that continuous administration of bee pollen and Propolis, alone or in combination, increased RBC count compared to the control treatment. Our findings are consistent with those of [36], who observed that adding propolis to the broiler diet had no influence on MCV and MCH when compared to broilers fed a control diet.

Table 2. Effect of various amounts of nano-propolis on some hematological variables (Mean±SE).

Treatments Parameters	T1	T2	T3	T4
PCV (%)	20.5 c± 0.12	21.65 bc± 0.66	22.65 b± 0.64	24.52 a± 0.12
Hb (g.dl)	6.83 c± 0.04	7.22 bc± 0.22	7.55 b± 0.22	8.17 a± 0.04
RBC (x10 ⁶ .mm ³)	2.12 c± 0.01	2.26 bc± 0.08	2.38 b± 0.08	2.61 a± 0.01
MCV (fl)	96.69± 2.41	95.79± 2.32	95.16± 2.15	93.94± 1.66

MCH (pg)	32.21± 0.83	31.94± 0.96	31.72± 0.98	31.30± 0.98
MCHC (g.l ¹)	33.31± 1.59	33.34± 1.60	33.33± 0.99	33.31± 0.99

Dissimilar letters are mean there are significant different at $p \leq 0.05$.

The results of heterophils ratio, lymphocytes ratio and H/L are shown in Table 3. Various amounts of nano-propolis had no significant effect ($p > 0.05$) on heterophils ratio, lymphocytes ratio and H/L. Our findings are in agreement with [37] who indicated that the use of propolis in diet of Muscovy broiler ducks did not affect leukocytes counts. According to [38], adding 0.2 g/kg of ethanol extract of propolis to the diet had no influence on the H/L ratio of broiler chicks. Inclusion of 1% to 4% of propolis extraction waste in broiler chickens did not impact the heterophil:lymphocyte (H:L) ratio, according to [39]. Our results are in contrast those of Omar et al. [34], who found that supplementing diets with propolis enhanced the number of heterophils in broiler chickens. According to [31], the alcoholic extract of propolis exhibited an immunostimulant effect and helped to maintain good health. Sforcin, [40] reported the high heterophils proportion may be linked to propolis ability to activate macrophages.

Table 3. Effect of various amounts of nano-propolis on Heterophils %, Lymphocytes % and H/L (Mean±SE)

Treatments Parameters	T1	T2	T3	T4
Heterophils (%)	34.23± 4.08	33.69± 3.18	22.64± 3.64	22.22± 3.53
Lymphocytes (%)	72.34± 2.96	72.61± 3.56	63.78± 3.27	63.06± 1.73
H/L ratio	0.47± 0.04	0.46± 0.02	0.35± 0.04	0.35± 0.05

3.2. Organs Relative Weight

The results showed the various amounts of nano-propolis had no significant effect ($p > 0.05$) on relative weight of heart, liver, gizzard, spleen and bursa gland. Our findings on relative organ weights are also similar with those of [38], who found no significant effect of an ethanol extract of propolis on the relative weights of liver and heart in broilers at slaughter age. There were no significant variations in the relative weight of the liver and gizzard of quails fed diets supplemented with varied doses of propolis, according to [41]. Eyng [39] found that varying doses of propolis in the feed (1%, 2%, 3%, and 4%) had no influence on the relative weight of the cloacal bursa and spleen of male Cobb-Vantress chicks. Dietary propolis supplementation had no influence on the weights of the liver, heart, gizzard, and spleen, according to [42].

Table 4. Effect of various amounts of nano-propolis on some relative weight of organs (Mean±SE).

Treatments Parameters	T1	T2	T3	T4
Heart weight (%)	0.45± 0.03	0.45± 0.03	0.51± 0.03	0.57± 0.04
Liver weight (%)	2.41± 0.19	2.55± 0.18	2.92± 0.21	2.97± 0.04
Gizzard weight (%)	1.59± 0.13	1.7± 0.15	1.83± 0.14	1.97± 0.07
Spleen weight (%)	0.11± 0.02	0.13± 0.02	0.14± 0.02	0.16± 0.02
Bursa weight (%)	0.20± 0.03	0.18± 0.02	0.15± 0.03	0.12± 0.02

Conclusions

In conclusions, the addition of 100 and 150 µl of nano-propolis per liter of drinking water were improved some blood parameters that include RBC, Hb and PCV. Nano-propolis in current study without effect on MCV, MCH, MCHC, heterophils, lymphocytes, heterophils/lymphocytes ratio and relative weight of heart, liver, gizzard, spleen and bursa gland of broiler chickens.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Physiology Laboratory team in Animal production department for their assistance in completing the physiological parameters.

References

- [1] Krocko M, Canigova M, Bezekova J, Lavova M, Hascik P and Duckova V.2012. Effect of nutrition with Propolis and bee pollen supplements on bacteria colonization pattern in gastrointestinal tract of broiler chickens. *Sci. Pap. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol*, 45: 63–67.
- [2] Klaric I, Pavic M, Miskulin I, Blazicevic V, Dumic A and Miskulin M.2018. Influence of Dietary Supplementation of Propolis and Bee Pollen on Liver Pathology in Broiler Chickens. *Animals*, 8(4): 54. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani8040054>
- [3] Tatli Seven P, Yilmaz S, Seven I, Cerci IH, Azman MA and Yilmaz M.2009. The effect of propolis on selected blood indicators and antioxidant enzyme activities in broilers under heat stress. *Acta Veterinaria Brno*, 78(1): 75-83. <https://doi.org/10.2754/avb200978010075>
- [4] Capcarova M, Kolesarova A, Kalafova A, Galik B, Simko M, Juracek M and Toman R.2013. The role of dietary bee pollen in antioxidant potential in rats. *Eurasian Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, 29(3): 133–137. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/eurasianjvetsci/issue/24806/262072>
- [5] Al-Ashoor DS and Al-Salhie KCK.2020. Effect of Adding Broccoli Leaves (*Brassica oleracea L. var. italica*) Extract to Drinking Water on Eggs Production and Intestinal Microflora of Japanese Quail *Coturnix japonica* Temmink & Schlegel, 1849. *Basrah Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 33(2), 42–51. <https://doi.org/10.37077/25200860.2020.33.2.04>
- [6] Al-Mosawy AMS and Al-Salhie KCK.2021.The Effect of Alcoholic Extract of Rhizomes of Greater Galangal (*Alpinia galanga L.*) on the Serum Antioxidant Enzymes for Japanese Quail During Oxidative Stress Induced by Hydrogen Peroxide. *Basrah Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 34(1), 171–179. <https://doi.org/10.37077/25200860.2021.34.1.15>
- [7] Mahjar NT and Al-Salhie KCK.2022. The effects of In Ovo Injection of Garlic (*Allium sativum L.*) Extract on Hatchability, Liver Enzymes and Antioxidant status of Broiler Chickens. *Basrah Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 35(1), 61–70. <https://doi.org/10.37077/25200860.2022.35.1.05>
- [8] Tekeli A, Kutlu, HR and Celik L.2011. Effects of *Z. officinale* and propolis extracts on the performance, carcass and some blood parameters of broiler chicks. *Current Research in Poultry Science*, 1(1): 12–23. <https://doi.org/10.3923/crpsaj.2011.12.23>
- [9] Kacaniova M, Rovna K, Arpasova H, Cubon J, Hleba L, Pochop J, Kunova S and Hascik P.2012. In vitro and in vivo antimicrobial activity of propolis on the microbiota from gastrointestinal tract of chickens. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A, Toxic/Hazardous Substances and Environmental Engineering* , 47(11): 1665–1671. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10934529.2012.687248>
- [10] Burdock GA.1998. Review of the biological properties and toxicity of bee propolis (Propolis). *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 36:347–363.
- [11] Troncarelli MZ, Brandao HM, Gern JC, Guimaraes AS and Langoni H.2013. Nanotechnology and antimicrobials in veterinary medicine. In: M_endez-Vilas A, editor. Microbial pathogens and strategies for combating them: science, technology and education. Badajoz: Formatex Research Center, Pp: 543–556.
- [12] Brandao HM, Gern JC, Vicentini NM, Pereira MM and Andrade PVD.2011. Nanotecnologia: a pr_oxima revoluc_~ao na agropecu_aria. *Rev CRMV (Brasilia)*. 17:61–67.

- [13] Afrouzan H, Amirinia C, Mirhadi SA, Ebadollahi A, Vaseji N and Tahmasbi G.2012. Evaluation of antimicrobial activity of propolis and nanopropolis against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Candida albicans*. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, 6(2): 421–425. <https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.1032.1466&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
- [14] Sahlan M, Dienayati D, Hamdi D, Zahra S, Hermansyah H and Chulasiri M.2017. Encapsulation process of Propolis extract by casein micelle improves sunscreen activity. *Makara Journal of Technology*, 21(1):1–6. <http://journal.ui.ac.id/technology/journal/article/view/3072>
- [15] Sies H.1991. Oxidative stress: from basic research to clinical application. *The American Journal of Medicine*, 91(3C): 31-38. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9343\(91\)90281-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9343(91)90281-2)
- [16] Havsteen BH.2002. The biochemistry and medical significance of the flavonoids. *Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, 96(2-3): 67-202. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0163-7258\(02\)00298-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0163-7258(02)00298-x)
- [17] Hosnuter M, Gurel A, Babuccu O, Armutcu F, Kargi E and Isikdemir A.2004. The effect of CAPE on lipid peroxidation and nitric oxide levels in the plasma of rats following thermal injury. *Burns*, 30: 121-125.
- [18] Desmukh SD and Borle MN.1975. Studies on the insecticidal properties of indigenous plant products. *Indian J. Enth. Pharm.*, 37 (1): 11-18.
- [19] El-Ghaffar MAA and Hashem MS.2009. Immobilization of α -amylase onto chitosan and its amino acid condensation adducts. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 112 (2): 805-14.
- [20] El-Ghaffar MAA and Hashem MS.2010. Chitosan and its amino acids condensation adducts as reactive natural polymer supports for cellulase immobilization. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 81 (3): 507–516. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.02.025>.
- [21] Campbell TW.1995. Avian hematology and cytology. Iowa State University Press, 176-198 p. <https://bit.ly/3r2Emh6>
- [22] SPSS .2016. Statistical Packages of Social Sciences. IBM Corp. Released 2016. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 24.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp. <https://www.ibm.com/analytics/spss-statistics-software>
- [23] Duncan DB.1955. Multiple range and multiple F tests. *Biometrics*, 11: 1–42. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3001478>
- [24].Huang WY, Cai YZ and Zhang Y.2010. Natural phenolic compounds from medicinal herbs and dietary plants: potential use for cancer prevention. *Nutr. Cancer*, 62(1):1–20 .
- [25] Cui-ping Z, Shuai H, Wen-ting W, Shun P, Xiao-ge S, Ya-jing L and Fu-liang H.2014. Development of high-performance liquid chromatographic for quality and authenticity control of Chinese propolis. *J. Food Sci.*, 79(7):C1315–22.
- [26] Hassig A, Linag W, Schwabl H. and Stampfli K .1999. Flavonoids and tannins: plant-based antioxidants with vitamin character. *Medical hypotheses*, 52:479–481.
- [27] Lee SW, Kim HJ, and Hwangbo S. 2001. Studies on the chemical characteristic of Korean propolis. *Journal of Korean Society For Food Science of Animal Research*, 21:383–388.
- [28] Prytyk E, Dantas AP, Salom~ao K, Pereira AS, Bankova VS, De Castro SL, and Neto FRA.2003. Flavonoids and trypanocidal activity of Bulgarian propolis. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*,88:189–193.
- [29] Wang BJ, Lien YH, and Yu, ZR. 2004. Supercritical fluid extractive fractionation–study of the antioxidant activities of propolis. *Food Chemistry*, 86:237–243.
- [30] Seven I, Aksu T, and Seven. PT.2010. The effects of propolis on biochemical parameters and activity of antioxidant enzymes in broilers exposed to lead-induced oxidative stress. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 23:1482–1489.
- [31] Bratter C, Tregel M, Liebenthal C and Volk H.1999. Prophylactic effectiveness of propolis for immunostimulation: a clinical pilot study. *Forsch Komplementarmed*, 6:256-260.
- [32] Haro A, Aliaga IL, Francisco L, Barrionuevo M, Alfe'rez MJM and Campos MS.2000. Beneficial effect of pollen and/or propolis on the metabolism of iron, calcium, phosphorus, and magnesium in rats with nutritional ferropenic anemia. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 48:5715–5722.

- [33] Galal A, Abdel-Motaal AM, Ahmed AMH and Zaki TG. 2008. Productive performance and immune response of laying hens as affected by dietary Propolis supplementation. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 7: 272–278.
- [34] Omar REM, Mahmoud EM, Karusa MM, Randa AA. 2003. Effect of additives propolis and Nigella sativa seed oil on some behavioral patterns, performance products and blood parameters in Sasso chickens. *Egyptian Poultry Science*, 21:140–151.
- [35] Attia YA, Abd Al-Hamid AE, Ibrahim, MS, Al-Harhi MA, Bovera F, Elnaggar A Sh. 2014. Productive performance, biochemical and hematological traits of broiler chickens supplemented with propolis, bee pollen, and mannan oligosaccharides continuously or intermittently. *Livestock Science*, 164:87–95.
- [36] Abou-Zeid AE, El-Damarawy SZ, Mariey YA and El-Kasass SM.2015. Effect of dietary propolis supplementation on performance and activity of antioxidant enzymes in broiler chickens. *Egyptian J. Nutrition and Feeds*, 18(2) Special Issue: 391- 400.
- [37] Abdel-Rahman MA and Mosaad GM. 2013. Effect of propolis as additive on some behavioral patterns, performance and blood parameters in Muscovy broiler ducks. *Journal of Advanced Veterinary Research*,3: 64–68.
- [38] Toriki M, Soltani J and Mohammadi H.2015. Effects of Adding Ethanol Extract of Propolis and Cumin Essential Oil to Diet on the Performance, Blood Parameters, Immune Response and Carcass Traits of Broiler Chicks. *Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science*, 5(4): 911-918.
- [39] Eyng C, Murakami AE, Santos TC, Silveira TGV, Pedroso RB, Lourenço DAL .2015. Immune Responses in Broiler Chicks Fed Propolis Extraction Residue-supplemented Diets. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 28(1): 135-142. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.14.0066>
- [40] Sforcin JM .2007. Propolis and the immune system: a review. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 113: 1–14.
- [41] Denli M, Cankaya S, Silici S, Okan F and Uluocak AN.2005. Effect of dietary addition of Turkish propolis on the growth performance, carcass characteristics and serum variables of quail (*Coturnix coturnix japonica*). *Asian Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 18: 848-854.
- [42] Mahmoud UT, Amen OA, Applegate TJ and Cheng HW .2017. Brazilian Propolis Effects on Growth, Productivity Performance, Gut Characteristics and Physiological Changes in Broiler Chickens. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 16: 169-179.